Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk			
No			Ranking			
	GOVERNANCE					
1	GOV1	Pension Fund Objectives are not defined and agreed leading to lack of focus of strategy to facilitate the aims of the LGPS.	3			
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	16			
3	GOV3	Members have insufficient knowledge of regulations, guidance and best practice to make good decisions.	12			
4	GOV4	Member non-attendance at training events.	8			
5	GOV5	Officers lack the knowledge and skills required to effectively advise elected members and/or carry out administrative duties.	4			
6	GOV6	Committee members have undisclosed conflicts of interest.	3			
7	GOV7	The Committee's decision making process is too rigid to allow for the making of expedient decisions leading to an inability to respond to problems and/or to exploit opportunities.	4			
8	GOV8	Known risks not monitored leading to adverse financial, reputational or resource impact.	4			
9	GOV9	Failure to recognise new Risks and/or opportunities.	4			
10	GOV10	Weak procurement process leads to legal challenge or failure to secure the best value for the value when procuring new services.	5			
11	GOV11	Failure to review existing contracts means that opportunities are not exploited.	8			

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No		INVESTAGENTS	Ranking
20	INIV/1	INVESTMENTS That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding	10
39	INV1	That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	10
40	INV2	That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	5
41	INV3	Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	10
42	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	5
43	INV5	Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	10
44	INV6	Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	10
45	INV7	Relevant information relating to investments is not communicated to the Committee in accordance with the Fund's Governance arrangements.	4
46	INV8	The risks associated with the Fund's assets are not understood resulting in the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	10
47	INV9	Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	12
48	INV10	No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	5
49	INV11	The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.	25

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

	GOVERNANCE				
12	GOV12	Weak process and policies around communicating with a scheme members and employers means that decisions are not available for scrutiny.	3		
13	GOV13	Lack of engagement from employers/members means that communicating decisions becomes a "tick box" exercise and accountability is not real.	9		
14	GOV14	Failure to comply with legislation and regulations leads to illegal actions/decisions resulting in financial loss and / or reputational damage	5		
15	GOV15	Failure to comply with guidance issued by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) and Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) resulting in reputational damage.	10		
16	GOV16	Pension fund asset pooling restricts Haringey Pension Fund's ability to fully implement a desired mandate	10		
17	GOV17	The Fund adopts and follows ill-suited investment strategy.	10		

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		COMMUNICATION	
50	COM1	Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	8
51	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and technical leading to a lack of engagement and understanding by the user (including members and employers).	6
52	COM3	Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	12
53	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	9
54	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	8
55	СОМ6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	12

	LEGISLATION			
18	LEG1	Failure to adhere to LGPS legislation (including regulations, order from the Secretary of State and any updates from The Pension Regulator) leading to financial or reputational damage	10	
19	LEG2	Lack of access to appropriate legislation, best practice or guidance could lead to the Fund acting illegally.	5	
20	LEG3	Lack of skills or resource to understand complex regulatory changes or understand their impact.	8	

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		ACCOUNTING	
21	ACC1	The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts does not represent a true and fair view of the Fund's financing and assets.	10
22	ACC2	Internal controls are not in place to protect against fruad/mismanagement.	8
23	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	8
24	ACC4	Market value of assets recorded in the Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading to a material misstatement and potentially a qualified audit opinion.	10
25	ACC5	Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	4
26	ACC6	Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	5
27	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	8
28	ACC8	Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	12

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		FUNDING/LIABILITY	
56	FLI1	Funding Strategy and Investment considered in isolation by Officers, Committee and their separate actuarial and investment advisors	10
57	FLI2	Inappropriate Funding Strategy set at Fund and employer level despite being considered in conjunction with Investment Strategy.	10
58	FLI3	Inappropriate Investment and Funding Strategy set that increases risk of future contribution rate increases.	10
59	FLI4	Processes not in place to capture or failure to correctly understand changes to risk characteristics of employers and adapting investment/funding strategies.	10
60	FLI5	Processes not in place to capture or review when an employer may be leaving the LGPS.	5
61	FLI6	Processes not in place to capture or review funding levels as employer approaches exiting the LGPS.	10
62	FLI7	Investment strategy is static, inflexible and does not meet employers and the Fund's objectives.	5
63	FLI8	Process not in place to ensure new employers admitted to the scheme have appropriate guarantor or bond in place.	5
64	FLI9	Level of bond not reviewed in light of change in employers pension liabilities.	8
65	FLI10	Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.	8
66	FLI11	Processes not in place to capture and understand changes in key issues that drive changes to pension liabilities.	5

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		ADMINISTRATION	
29	ADM1		10
29	ADIVIT	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy	10
		framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also	
20	45140	complaints against the Fund.	_
30	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class	5
		service	
31	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to	12
		knowledge gaps	
32	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of	5
		records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to	
		payment.	
33	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or	8
		over payments.	
34	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not	8
		being paid in a timely manner.	
35	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation	8
		that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	
36	ADM8	Data protection procedures non-existent or insufficient	10
		leading to poor security for member data	
37	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers	5
		leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well	
		as financial loss.	
38	ADM10	Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to	10
		perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided	
		in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession	
		planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge	
		when an officer leaves.	



		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba-	Overall	Respon-	Timescale
					bility	Risk	sibility	
						Rating		
21	ACC1	The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts	Qualified Accountant to produce the accounts	5	2	10	HoP;	Jul-18
		does not represent a true and fair view of	using the most up to date Statement of				HoCP	
		the Fund's financing and assets.	Recognised Practice, Accounting Code of					
			Practice, Disclosure Checklist and other relevant					
			CIPFA training materials/publications.					
			Attendance at Pensions Officers Group Meetings,					
			Based on latest Code of Practice, robust in year					
			(quarterly) monitoring / reconciliation processes.					
			Draft Statement of Accounts and working papers					
			reviewed by the Head of Pensions and the Chief					
			Accountant.					

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale	
22		Internal controls are not in place to protect against fruad/ mismanagement.	The Internal Audit plan includes dedicated hours for pensions to the review of internal controls in relation to the management and accounting of the Pension Fund. The plan is designed on a risk basis, so that areas of high risk will be subject to more frequent internal audits. Pensions feed into the process by identifying areas where improvements are required. Recommendations from internal audits of processes and controls are implemented in a timely manner to reduce or remove identified risks.	4	2	8	HoP; PAM	Mar-18	
23	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	A checklist of all daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly reconciliations is maintained to ensure that all tasks are completed in a timely manner. All reconciliaitons are independently reviewed and signed off by a second officer. Full reconciliation and interim accountants are prepared on a quarterly basis.	4	2	8	НоР;	Dec-18	Quari

		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
24		Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading	Reconciliation undertaken between the book cost and market values to the custodians book of records recieved quarterly, reports can be run off online portal - Passport. Further reconciliation undertaken between the custodian and investment managers' records. All adjustments (including unrealised profits) will be posted into the general ledger so that accounts can be reported created directly from SAP.	5	2	10	НоР	Jun-18

		А	CCOUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
25		Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	Approximately 70% of total income to the Fund comes from contributions by the Council. Payment of contributions from employers is monitored on a monthly basis; including a full reconciliation between amount expected receipt and actual receipt. Late payers are identified and reported to the JCB as part of quarterly pensions administration report. Late payers tend to be small employers in the scheme and such amounts will not have a significant impact on Fund's cashflow. Where non-payment relates to a large employer swift action is taken to chase payment.	4	1	4	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
26	ACC6	Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	Employers are sent all employers a contribution form at the start of each year and confirm the correct rates to be paid. Payment is monitored against expected payment quarterly. Where there are discrepancies, the employer is expected to make immediate payment to make up the shortfall - overpayments cannot be refunded. Employers making late payment are reported to the JCB on a quarterly basis.	5	1	5	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
27	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	All expenditure incurred by the fund on behalf of employers is recharged. Invoices are itemised and all recoverable items are identified and charged back to the relevant employer. All income recoverable, including witholding taxes on investments are itemised in the custodian reports. We will monitor the recovery and timing of this to ensure the maximum amount is recovered in a timely manner.	4	2	8	НоР;	Ongoing

		ACC	COUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
28		Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	Levels of transfers out initially anticipated have not materialised in relation to transfers to DC Funds. However transfers out from employers exiting the fund and bulk transfers will have some impact on the fund. This is not anticipated to case material change to the Fund's cashflow however. Auto Enrollment and periodically promoting the benefits of the LGPS and the flexibility now offered following the revisions to the LGPS in 2014, will help to counter this.	4	3		РАМ; НоР	Ongoing

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	RK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
39		That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	The Investment and Funding Strategy Statements are reviewed regularly and discussed at Pensions Committee and Board meeting. These Strategies are presented to the committee annually as part of the process of approving the Fund Annual Report. There is close liaison between the Fund's actuary and strategic investment adviser.	5	2	10	НоР	Jul-18
40		That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	Actuarial and Investment advice provided by qualified professionals and subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose. Good contract management is key here as the Fund relies on external parties to be appointed for these purposes.	5	1	5	НоР	Ongoing

D'. L ti	0.1.5.6		VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR			0 "		
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
41		Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	Actuarial and Investment advice provided by qualified professionals and subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose. A strength of covenant analysis is undertaken by the Fund along with employer profiling to assist the Fund to understand all employers in the Scheme. The actuary uses this information when contribution rates are being set triennially. This is also incorporated into the Funding Strategy Statement.	5	2	10	НоР	Ongoing for new employers but March 2020 for the next triennial valuation
42	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	The Fund currently utilises the services of Mercer as the Investment Consultant to the Fund.	5	1	5	HoP; PCB	Ongoing
43		Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	The Fund employs the services of an investment consultant, Mercer, but has also engaged an independent advisor to challenge/confirm investment/investment strategy decisions. This model ensures that advice is subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	5	2	10	PCB; PCB	Ongoing

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	K				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
44	INV6	Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	Rigorous selection process in place to ensure that Fund appoints only the best investment managers based on available information during tendering of a new mandate. Expert professional advice provided by Investment Consultant supporting manager selection exercise. It is a requirement of the Fund that all Investment Managers are FCA registered. Where necessary specialist search managers will be engaged to assist investment manager selection. The Funds Custodian provides a manager performance monitoring service. The performance of all investment managers is also formally monitored and reported on a quarterly basis to Investment Sub-Committee.	5	2	10	РСВ;	Ongoing

		INV	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	K .				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
45			The Pensions Committee receives formal quarterly reports on both the overall performance of the Fund and individual investment managers. Included within this report is a manager monitoring section prepared by the Head of Pensions Where appropriate members may be asked to utilise electronic decision making, such as, email to allow the Committee to make timely/urgent decisions relating to investment of fund assets.	4	1	4	HoP; CC	Ongoing
46		the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	Full Investment Strategy review undertaken by Investment Consultant on triennial basis after triennial valuation with Annual/Ad-hoc Strategy reviews undertaken in intervening years to ensure the Strategy is still appropriate to achieve long term funding objectives.	5	2	10	HoP; PCB	Mar-18

INVESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK									
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale	
47		Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	Asset Allocations formally reviewed as part of quarterly report to Pensions Committee and necessary action will be taken to correct inbalance that is over and above the tolerance threshold. LGIM, the equity investor is able to affect a rebalancing of the Fund's assets to benchmark and has been tasked to do so on an ongoing basis.	4	3	12	НоР	Ongoing	
48		No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	Annual cash flow monitoring at Fund level undertaken by Head of Pensions and utilised to inform Investment Strategy to ensure that the Fund is always able to meet its liabilities as they fall due.	5	1	5	НоР	Mar-18	

INVESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK									
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale	
49	INV11	The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.	The Fund is a founding member of London CIV and is an active participant at all levels (Executive and Officer) of London CIV. Specifically, the Fund has representation at the Investment Advisory Committee and Officer's business meetings where strategies and fund manager appointments that align with the Fund's investment strategy are promoted. However, because the CIV has to reach consensus among its 33 members, there is a risk that the full complement of mandates in the Fund may not be replicated by London CIV.	5	5	25	НоР	Ongoing	

			RED RATED RISKS					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	The nature of Council appointees to the Fund means that there is likely to be annual turnover of appointments to the Pensions Committee. However, Full Council through Democratic Services has been made aware of the consequences of constant turnover of Pensions Committee members. A comprehensive training programme that is in line with CIPFA guideine/The Pension Regulator has been developed and is continously reviewed/updated. Training needs analyses undertaken annually to identify knowledge gaps and training programme adapted accordingly New members required to complete The Pensions Regulators public service toolkit modules as a minimum requirement. All members are encouraged to attend training events (internal/external) to ensure all have adequate knowledge to perform duties as trustees of the Fund.	4	4	16	PCB; HoP	Ongoing
49	INV11		The Fund is a founding member of London CIV and is an active participant at all levels (Executive and Officer) of London CIV. Specifically, the Fund has representation at the Investment Advisory Committee and Officer's business meetings where strategies and fund manager appointments that align with the Fund's investment strategy are promoted. However, because the CIV has to reach consensus among its 33 members, there is a risk that the full complement of mandates in the Fund may not be replicated by London CIV.	5	5	25	НоР	Ongoing